

NAIS - a coerced AND hidden attempt to steal all US farmland as collateral on the bailout <<http://www.opednews.com/articles/NAIS--a-coerced-AND-hidde-by-Marti-Oakley-090115-879.html>>

by Marti Oakley (Posted by Linn Cohen-Cole
<<http://www.opednews.com/author/author10919.html>>) Page 1 of 2
page(s)

www.opednews.com

Quantcast <<http://www.quantcast.com/p-0fIFdhMnN7jC6>>

This article by Marti Oakley was written to farmers to warn them. I am hoping the rest of us see what is being done to them and are outraged for them and for ourselves because it is our American farmland. Will the ACLU and the Buckeye Institute and other constitutional groups step in to stop this travesty?

By the way, Monsanto was one of the corporations involved in developing NAIS and Vilsack is strongly pushing it. Will this connection between Monsanto, NAIS and Vilsack even be mentioned during his hearings?

Will the NY Times cover NAIS during Vilsack's hearing? Will they cover what appears to be the largest attempted land grab in this country's history? A google search reveals that the only "NAIS" mentioned by the NY Times is the review of a movie called NAIS, adapted from Emile Zola's novel, "Nais Micoulin," by Marcel Pagnol. Perhaps it's time for the NY Times to investigate NAIS - the National Identification System - being pushed by Monsanto and the big meat packers and desperately resisted by farmers across the country. Did you know, reader, that if you got your child a bunny, you'd be forced to sign onto NAIS and sign the same paper farmers are terrified of, and you, too, would have no choice in signing on but would face the prospect of, against your will, signing away your property to cover the bailout.

During Vilsack's hearings, will the question raised here - of forcing resistant American farmers to sign a suspicious document which sets their land up to be taken from them as collateral on the bailout - even be asked?

NAIS.....the latest attempt to steal your land
<<http://ppjg.wordpress.com/2009/01/15/1494/>>

With any governmental agency, the words used in any law, regulation, rule or other declaration by the government or its agencies must be carefully scrutinized. What may seem to be nothing more than a simple word-swap may actually be a new legal definition and one that may come back to haunt you. Under NAIS the term [property] is swapped for Premises.

Property is the term used to indicate private ownership of a thing such as land or animals and is protected by rights in the Constitution. It does signify legal

ownership, and who is the legal owner and allows you access to a Civil Court and protection under the Constitution.

Premises is a term derived from the International Law of Contracts which are the international rules, for conducting business, usually corporate, whereby [non-human entities] are declared to be [persons]. Agreeing to the redefining of [property] and to the conversion to premises, eliminates civil protections and redefines you as an [legal entity] who may or may not own the thing in question. This also subjects you to Administrative Courts using statute and codes which are derived from the International Law of Contracts (ILC) and prohibits any use of rights enumerated or otherwise within the constitution.

NAIS is a contract!

Any time you sign your name to any government program you have effectively entered into a contract. NAIS is a contractual agreement between you, the individual land owner/livestock owner, and the USDA acting as agent for the federal government, or your state agriculture department acting as agent. Using the ILC's own rules, no contract is valid unless all parties are fully apprised of ALL provisions and terms of the contract.

NAIS has intentionally not revealed ALL aspects of the contract, or the real intent of the program. This renders any attempts to mandate compliance as null and void.

Neither the government nor its agencies or agents have listed any limits with regard to any authority any or all of them may now assume or implement as a result of rule making or changes to policies, mandates and regulations. This means you do NOT know all the terms of the contract.

Neither is NAIS an [adhesion contract] wherein the terms and conditions of the contract never, ever change because USDA or even Congress can change the rules and regulations at any time.

Since the USDA is a self regulating and rule making agency, in effect making its own laws and enforcing them at will, rules could be changed at a later date drastically affecting everyone who has entered into this contract.

When those of you who [voluntarily] signed up for Premises ID and animal registration signed your name to what is a contract between you and the Federal government, did you not notice the contract provided no limitations or restrictions regarding the authority you just conveyed to the Federal government?

Did you notice not one word was said about limiting the actions of agencies such as the USDA and no protections against unwarranted search and seizure or other legal actions were afforded to you?

Voluntarily or even by forced mandate, you have given up your rights to your own property and, in exchange, you got what? Were you compensated?

Is there any intent to compensate you? The answers are no. In fact the cost of NAIS is being passed on to the people being victimized by yet another Federal

program, the intent of which is to seize all farm and ranch lands and all livestock, putting all of it under Federal control.

In the end, we need to understand that property has a far different meaning under the laws than the word [premises]. Had there not been an intention to render a change in the status of property ownership and control there would have been no need to use the word Premises. Rep Colin Peterson (D) MN and Sen. Tom Harkin (D) IA, didn't slip this word in there accidentally.

In fact, there are a few things neither of them ever mentioned. Most specifically neither mentions the fact that all the lands being consigned to the control of the USDA will be added to the US Lands Preservation Act, now before congress. Supposedly more than 150 various bills from nearly every state just showed up at once and were rolled into one omnibus land package. Go figure. What a coincidence.

Why is this important?

All heritage lands including national parks and reserves, wetlands preserves, forests, waterways and wildlife preserves and other non-specific land holdings are owned by the federal government, although the government is prohibited from owning any land other than what is needed to operate, within the Constitution. Now they intend to seize control of your farm or ranch land.

All of the land being claimed by the federal government including the lands being seized under the National Animal Identification System are being used to collateralize the funds borrowed to bail out Wall Street.

The US Lands Preservation Act is nothing more than the official collecting and cataloguing of all federally held lands which will now be used to swap debt for assets. Your land, once registered for NAIS will also be listed as an asset in this debt.

And you were told this was about national security, export development, disease and food safety.